EXHIBIT 19

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
               EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
 3
                     SOUTHERN DIVISION
 4
    In re: FLINT WATER CASES
 5
                                ) Civil Action No.
                                ) 5:16-cv-10444-JEL-MKM
 6
    Elnora Carthan, et al., ) (consolidated)
 7
         Plaintiffs,
 8
                                   Hon. Judith E. Levy
         vs.
 9
                                   Mag. Mona K. Majzoub
    Governor Rick Snyder,
10
    et al.,
11
        Defendants.
12
        and
13
    Bellwether III
    Case No. 17-10164
14
15
                    HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
               Wednesday, December 28, 2022
16
17
                         Volume I
               Remote videotaped deposition of
18
    LARRY L. RUSSELL, Ph.D., commencing at 9:02 a.m., on
19
    the above date before Carol A. Kirk, Registered Merit
20
    Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter, and Notary
21
22
    Public.
23
                GOLKOW LITIGATION SERVICES
            877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax
24
```

```
1
           REMOTE APPEARANCES
 2
    On behalf of the Class Plaintiffs:
 4
           SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P
           BY: JORDAN CONNORS, ESQUIRE
                 jconnors@susmangodfrey.com
 5
            1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800
            Seattle, Washington 98101
 6
            206-516-3880
 7
    On behalf of Individual Plaintiffs:
 8
 9
           LEVY KONIGSBERG LLP
           BY: COREY M. STERN, ESQUIRE
10
                 cstern@levylaw.com
            605 Third Avenue, 33rd Floor
11
           New York, New York 10158
            212-605-6200
12
    On behalf of the Mason State Court Plaintiffs:
13
14
           MCALPINE PC
           BY: JAYSON E. BLAKE, ESQUIRE
15
                 jeblake@mcalpinepc.com
            3201 University Drive, Suite 200
16
           Auburn Hills, Michigan 48326
            248-373-3700
17
            jeblake@mcalpinepc.com
18
    On behalf of Defendants Leo A. Daly Company and
    Lockwood, Andrews & Newnam, Inc.:
19
20
           JUDE T. HICKLAND, ESQUIRE
           FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP
           BY: JUDE T. HICKLAND, ESQUIRE
21
                 jude.hickland@faegredrinker.com
            1717 Main Street, Suite 5400
22
           Dallas, Texas 75201
           469-357-2541
23
24
```

```
1
       REMOTE APPEARANCES (CONT'D)
 2
 3
    On behalf of Defendants Veolia Water North America
    Operating Services, LLC, Veolia North America, LLC,
    and Veolia North America, Inc.:
 4
           MAYER BROWN, LLP
 5
           BY: MARK R. TER MOLEN, ESQUIRE
                 mtermolen@mayerbrown.com
 6
                 MATTHEW C. SOSTRIN, ESQUIRE
 7
                 msostrin@mayerbrown.com
                 GEOFFREY M. PIPOLY, ESQUIRE
                 gpipoly@mayerbrown.com
 8
                 CHRISTOPHER J. FERRO, ESQUIRE
 9
                 cferro@mayerbrown.com
                 CRAIG A. WOODS, ESQUIRE
10
                 cwoods@mayerbrown.com
            71 South Wacker Drive
11
            Chicago, Illinois 60606
            312-701-7307
12
            CAMPBELL CONROY & O'NEIL
13
                 JOHN R. PENHALLEGON, ESQUIRE
           BY:
                 jpenhallegon@campbell-trial-lawyers.com.
14
                 ANDREAS RINGSTAD, ESQUIRE
                 aringstad@campbell-trial-lawyers.com
            1205 Westlakes Drive, Suite 330
15
           Berwyn, Pennsylvania 19312
16
            610-964-1900
17
18
    ALSO PRESENT:
19
           Bill Bellamy
            Graham Gagnon
20
           Sheldon Masters
           Anthony Finizio
21
           David Lane, Videographer
22
23
24
```

1	INDEX TO EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS	PAGE
3	LARRY L. RUSSELL, PH.D.	
4	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. TER MOLEN	7
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

- 1 engineer C.
- Q. Okay. And if we look at the NSPE
- 3 code at issue here, and that's -- you can see
- 4 it. It's in the third section here under NSPE
- 5 Code of Ethics references. There's -- it says,
- 6 "If engineer's judgment is overruled under
- 7 circumstances that endanger life or property,
- 8 they shall notify their employer or client and
- 9 such other authority as may be appropriate."
- 10 Do you see that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Okay. And with respect to VNA
- 13 here, was there an instance, in your opinion,
- 14 Dr. Russell, where the City of Flint or others
- working on behalf of the City of Flint overruled
- 16 VNA in a manner that endangered life or
- 17 property?
- 18 A. That's a very interesting and
- 19 involved question.
- One of the complaints that
- 21 I recall from VNA was that they, the city,
- 22 didn't implement all of their recommendations.
- One that I believe is a perfect example of that
- is the request to increase the ferric chloride.

```
1
                   Now, I think it's fortunate that
 2
     the city didn't follow that recommendation from
 3
     Veolia, because if they had increased the ferric
     chloride, it would have made the situation even
 4
 5
     worse. So -- but that's clearly a situation
 6
     where the city overruled Veolia's
 7
     recommendation.
 8
                   Now, the question about
 9
     endangering life or property, well, that gets
10
     pretty complicated. If Veolia had made the
11
     recommendation I would have hoped they would
12
     make, which would be to switch back to alum,
     which is the old aluminum sulfate -- it's the
13
14
    old coagulant that was used back at this plant
15
    back when the plant was treating the water
    before '67 -- then this issue would be much
16
     clearer, because if they had recommended going
17
18
     to all alum and the city refused to do that,
19
     then one could argue that going to ferric
20
     chloride endangered the life or property. So,
21
    you know, what's important, Mr. Ter Molen, is to
22
     recognize these are very broad and general ideas
23
     presented here.
                   The takeaway from this is -- I
24
```

- 1 don't know if it's 11.1 or II.1, the first one.
- 2 That's the issue that's being discussed here.
- The second one is just an example
- 4 of some of the things you run into in these
- 5 ethics courses. And, you know, it's an
- 6 obligation of the engineer to make sure they do
- 7 everything they can to protect the public
- 8 health.
- 9 So, like I say, the circumstances
- 10 here perhaps don't exactly fit this ethical
- 11 question, but they do fit the intent of what's
- 12 being implied here, in my opinion.
- Q. Dr. Russell, did the City of Flint
- 14 ever tell VNA that the city was not going to
- implement any of the recommendations VNA made?
- MR. STERN: Objection; foundation,
- outside the scope of his expertise, and
- outside the scope of his opinions.
- 19 A. Well, beyond that, I can't really
- 20 know that. I'm not privy to the conversations
- 21 between Veolia and the city. So all I can look
- 22 at is the parts of the reports that didn't get
- 23 done. And, you know, there was no corrosion
- 24 study. There was no implementation of a higher